GC Has Reviewed	
Mr. Lewrence R. Houston	13 January 1957
Proposed Reciprocity Legislation	•
1. Returned herewith are copies you sent of letters containing comments on the proposed lation.	to 25% reciprocity legis-
P. In the letter dated January 9, 1957 frof Justice (FBI), attention is invited to paraginative stated that the Central Intelligence Agency Federal Bureau of Investigation in the view the "would work to the great disadvantage of the Unwould add considerably to the internal security facing our demestic intelligence agencies." If error and I do not know where such an expression obtained from this Agency.	is united with the at reciprocity nited States and y problems already his is obviously in
3. The letter from the Department of Defe 1957 is completely erroneous as far as most of peragraph h is concerned inastach as we have be notworks without interference problems for several agreements exist with the Joint Communicational agreements exist with the Joint Communications the Soint Chief's of Staff in which provide the service in wartime and have increaspectically for the purpose. Also, the conclumntagraph 6a. and b. are certainly open to que communications rights obtained by the military mentioned are usually a part of overall military in my opinion would not apply to negotiations tions.	een operating such eral years and the partments. In fact cations Electronics h they agree to med their facilities usions reached in estion inascach as in the countries are agreements and
b. We have contacted Mr. Russell Hughes Perfence regarding the Department of Defense le that the letter will be withdrawn and revised.	stter, and it is noted

Attachments

25X1

Dist: Orig & 1 - Addressee
1 - SA/IIAL Chrono (Reciprocity)

2 2 2 X

January 9, 1957

Hemorable Percivel F. Brundage Director, Bureau of the Budget Maskington, D. C

Dear Mr. Brundage:

This is in response to your request for the views of the Department of Justice concerning the Cruit bill "To smend Section 30% of the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as exended, and for other purposes," submitted by the Department of State.

The measure would emend Section 305 of the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended, (5 U.S.C. 305), so as to emphasize the President in his discretion to permit selected foreign governments to construct and operate, on a reciprocal basis, radio transmitters in their missions in the United States for diplomatic communications. The effect of the proposed amendment would be to make a limitation in existing law on foreign radio transmission from the United States. The removal of this limitation would complement the President's independent constitutional authority and enable him to make arrangements on a reciprocal basis for the direct transmission of diplomatic communications by our missions abroad.

Although the advantages to this country to be gained by arrangements for the direct transmission of communications by our difficultic missions abroad may not be denied, there are certain countervalling factors which must receive the most careful consideration before legislation of this nature is approved. The potential of radio in intelligence and counterintelligence, as well as its use as a homing device, is so well known as to need no further amplification. These factors are of even more immediate concern to investigative and intelligence agencies of the Government.

The question of reciprocal agreements with foreign governments to permit the catablishment of redio stations for the use of diplomatic missions has received the most careful consideration of our investigative and intelligence agencies. The interdepartmental Intelligence Conference, the Central Intelligence Agency

SHCRET

CCPY

- 2 -

united in the view that reciprocal agreements, such as would be notherized by this measure, would work to the great disadvantage of the United States and would add considerably to the internal occurrity problems already facing our demostic intelligence agencies. Foreign intelligence communications to and from this country would certainly expedite foreign intelligence communications to and from this country and make more effective foreign intelligence operations within the United States, thus resulting in substantial impairment to our internal security.

Although it is recognised that the question of the advisability of legislation of this character is one of high policy, in the absence of everriding national considerations of which we are a property we do not concur in recommendations for its enactment.

Sincerely,

William P. Rogers Deputy Attorney General

SECRET